Estimating radar reflectivity - snowfall rate relationships and their uncertainties over Antarctica by combining disdrometer and radar observations

N. Souverijns, A. Gossart, S. Lhermitte, I.V. Gorodetskaya, S. Kneifel, M. Maahn, L.F. Bliven and N.P.M. Van Lipzig Presenting author: N.P.M. van Lipzig

# The setting





#### Motivation

- Antarctic ice sheet equivalent to about 60m global sea level rise
- Precipitation is the only source of mass to the ice sheet
- Information mostly about net accumulation (stakes, height ranger)
- Precipitation measurements over the Antarctic Ice Sheet are scarce
- Information on clouds is limited, which stands in contrast with their importance for the surface energy balance



#### Motivation

- Radar: ground-based measurements of precipitation intensity.
- To convert radar reflectivity factor (Ze) to snowfall rates (SR), information about the microphysical characteristics of snow particles is necessary

![](_page_4_Picture_3.jpeg)

#### Heymsfield and Miloshevich, 2003

#### Instrumentation

- Precipitation Imaging Package (PIP; Newman et al., 2009):
  - High speed camera (360 frames/second)
  - Obtains snow microphysical properties as e.g.:
    - Particle size and distribution.
    - Fall speeds using a tracker algorithm.
  - Jan-May 2016
  - Vertical profiling between 300-3000m
- Micro Rain Radar (MRR):
  - Vertically pointing radar (24 GHz)
  - Adapted for snowfall (Maahn and Kollias, 2012)
  - Low cost and low power consumption radar
  - Operational since 2009

![](_page_5_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Picture_14.jpeg)

# Methodology

• Using PIP measurements it is possible to calculate radar reflectivity factor (Ze) and snowfall rate (SR).

$$Ze = 10^{18} \frac{\lambda}{\pi^5 |K|^2} \int_0^\infty \sigma_b(D) N(D) dD \qquad SR = \frac{3600}{\rho_w} \int_0^\infty m(D) v(D) N(D) dD$$

• 120 hours of snowfall (12 individual events)

 $\rightarrow$  Calculate Ze from PIP measurements and compare that with the MRR

 $\rightarrow$  Derive Ze-SR relation from PIP

#### Micro Rain Radar vs PIP

- Compare radar reflectivity measured by the Micro Rain Radar and calculated by PIP
  - Reflectivity values of PIP are obtained at the surface, while for the MRR at 300 m above ground level.
  - For smallest snowfall events, there is a bigger discrepancy due to low level sublimation

![](_page_7_Figure_4.jpeg)

## Ze-SR relation from PIP

- Ze=18\*SR<sup>1.1</sup>
- The Ze-SR relation has a lower prefactor (A=18) than relations derived over mid-latitudes.
- Size of snow particles ~ value of the prefactor (A).
- Prefactor (A) equals 44 at coastal sites (larger snowflakes), at inland locations it approximates 7 (smaller snowflakes).

![](_page_8_Figure_5.jpeg)

Souverijns, van Lipzig, et al., AR, 2017

#### Uncertainty assessment

- Uncertainty was estimated using a bootstrapping approach
- All storms were samples 10000 times

![](_page_9_Figure_3.jpeg)

Souverijns, van Lipzig, et al., AR, 2017

## Ze-SR relation: uncertainty

- Ze=[11-43]\*SR <sup>[0.97-1.17]</sup>
- Largest uncertainty due to mass diameter relationship
- This uncertainty must be reduced for better snowfall retrievals

$$Ze = 10^{18} \frac{\lambda}{\pi^5 |K|^2} \int_0^\infty \sigma_b(D) N(D) dD$$

$$SR = \frac{3600}{\rho_w} \int_0^\infty m(D) v(D) N(D) dD$$

![](_page_10_Figure_6.jpeg)

Souverijns, van Lipzig, et al., AR, 2017

Cumulative daily surface mass balance components during 2012

 $SMB = S + SU_s + SU_{ds} + ME + ER_{ds}$ 

![](_page_11_Figure_2.jpeg)

Souverijns, van Lipzig, et al., the cryosphere, 2017

#### Dominant circulation during snowfall

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

Souverijns, van Lipzig, et al., the cryosphere, 2017

#### Factors determining accumulation and ablation without snowfall

![](_page_13_Figure_1.jpeg)

Souverijns, van Lipzig, et al., the cryosphere, 2017

![](_page_14_Figure_0.jpeg)

## Conclusions

Low cost robust instrumentation can give

- Publication:
  - Souverijns, N., Gossart, A., Lhermitte, S., Gorodetskaya, I. V., Kneifel, S., Maahn, M., Bliven, F. L., van Lipzig, N. P. M., 2017. Estimating radar reflectivity - snowfall rate relationships and their uncertainties over Antarctica by combining disdrometer and radar observations. Atmospheric Research 196, 211-223.
  - https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2017.06.001

## Ze-SR relation: uncertainty

- Uncertainties on Ze-SR are smaller than the individual uncertainties on Ze and SR as errors compensate each other
- Parameter uncertainty is the largest uncertainty term apart from the variability between snow storms
  - Mainly mass uncertainty
  - Shape detection is less important to lower uncertainty.

![](_page_16_Figure_5.jpeg)

Uncertainty	Ze	$\mathbf{SR}$	Ze-SR relation
Measurement	[-30% + 41%]	[-21% + 27%]	[-10% + 11%]
Shape	[-23% + 42%]	[-13% + 14%]	[-11% + 12%]
Parameter	[-52% + 106%]	[-59% + 56%]	[-39% + 38%]
Snow storm variability	/	/	[-36% + 66%]
Total	[-59% + 132%]	[-54% +77%]	[-59% + 60%]

## References

- Frezzotti, M., Scarchilli, C., Becagli, S., Proposito, M., Urbini, S., 2013. A synthesis of the Antarctic surface mass balance during the last 800 yr. The Cryosphere 7, 303-319.
- Grazioli, J., Madeleine, J.-B., Gallée, H., Forbes, R. M., Genthon, C., Krinner, G., Berne, A., 2017. Katabatic winds diminish precipitation contribution to the Antarctic ice mass balance. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, in press.
- Heymsfield, A. J., Miloshevich, L. M., 2003. Parameterizations for the Cross-Sectional Area and Extinction of Cirrus and Stratiform Ice Cloud Particles. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences 60, 936-956.
- Maahn, M. and Kollias, P., 2012. Improved Micro Rain Radar snow measurements using Doppler spectra post-processing. Atmospheric Measurement Techniques 5, 2661-2673.
- Newman, A.J., Kucera, P.A., Bliven, F.L., 2009. Presenting the Snowflake Video Imager (SVI). Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology 26, 167-179.
- Wood, N.B, 2011. Estimation of snow microphysical properties with application to millimeterwavelength radar retrievals for snowfall rate. PhD thesis, Colorado State University, pp. 218

# The project

#### • AEROCLOUD

- Improve the understanding and modeling of precipitation, clouds and their interaction with aerosols in Dronning Maud Land (East Antarctica)
- Achieved by using:
  - The observational framework at the Princess Elisabeth station in East Antarctica
  - Regional climate modeling (COSMO-CLM<sup>2</sup>)

![](_page_18_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_8.jpeg)

#### Instrumentation

![](_page_19_Picture_1.jpeg)

# Methodology

- Uncertainty was estimated using a bootstrapping approach
- All storms were samples 10000 times

![](_page_20_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_4.jpeg)

Souverijns et al., 2017